FutureProofed: Deep Research on the Most Important News Around Societal, Economic, and Cultural Changes Driven by Tech and Abundance from the Past 7 Days

Introduction: The Widening Chasm Between Technological Acceleration and Societal Adaptation

The past seven days have brought into sharp focus a critical and accelerating divergence between the rapid, efficiency-driven deployment of artificial intelligence in the corporate sphere and the lagging, often reactive, state of societal and institutional readiness. What was recently a topic of future-oriented speculation has now become a present-day reality, evidenced by a confluence of landmark developments. The theoretical debate over AI's impact on employment has been grounded by the first large-scale empirical study providing robust evidence of generative AI's direct, negative impact on entry-level jobs, fundamentally shifting the conversation from augmentation to displacement.[1]

Simultaneously, a new wave of proactive policy formulation is emerging from organized labor. The UK's Trades Union Congress (TUC), for example, has moved beyond abstract principles to issue concrete demands for a human-centric AI transition, including calls for a "digital dividend" and direct worker involvement in technological governance.[1] This push for a new social contract is contrasted by a persistent governance vacuum at the national level in many countries, particularly in education. Here, the fragmented and uneven nature of adaptation is starkly visible, with pragmatic, localized policymaking, such as that seen in Baltimore City Public Schools, standing in for cohesive national strategies.[5]

These trends—empirical evidence of job loss, proactive calls for new economic models, and a patchwork of institutional responses—are unfolding against the backdrop of an escalating crisis in the creative industries and a renewed, pragmatic debate around Universal Basic

Income (UBI).[7] Together, they underscore the profound urgency of "future-proofing" our socio-economic structures. This report will dissect these interconnected developments to provide a comprehensive analysis for strategic decision-makers navigating this period of unprecedented transformation.

Key Developments: The Empirical Reality of AI-Driven Transformation

The past week has provided a wealth of data and events that move the discussion of AI's impact from the theoretical to the tangible. Across the domains of work, education, and the information economy, clear patterns of disruption, adaptation, and institutional friction are now visible.

The Workforce in Transition: From Augmentation Theory to Displacement Reality

For years, the dominant narrative surrounding AI in the workplace has been one of augmentation—a "co-pilot" to enhance human productivity. Recent evidence and corporate actions, however, paint a more complex and concerning picture of direct substitution, particularly for those at the beginning of their careers.

The Brynjolfsson Bombshell
The most significant development of the week was the release of the working paper "Canaries in the Coal Mine?" by Stanford economist Erik Brynjolfsson and his colleagues.2 This study represents the first large-scale empirical analysis providing robust evidence of a causal link between generative AI exposure and job loss. Its key finding is stark: since the widespread adoption of generative AI, early-career workers between the ages of 22 and 25 in the most AI-exposed occupations have experienced a 13% relative decline in employment.1 The research indicates that this is not a matter of wage suppression but of direct headcount reduction, concentrated in occupations where AI is more likely to *automate* tasks rather than *augment* human capabilities.[2] This data directly counters the prevailing industry narrative and validates long-held concerns about technological unemployment, revealing a disproportionate impact on the very demographic that requires foundational career experience to advance.[10]

The Rise of Agentic AI and the "Zero-FTE Department"

Providing a conceptual framework for this displacement is the shift toward "agentic AI." As detailed in recent analysis from McKinsey, this next wave of technology involves systems that do not merely generate content but can perceive, decide, and execute complex tasks autonomously.12 This evolution points toward a future where organizations manage a dual workforce of "agentic and human" labor. Some companies are already exploring the concept of "zero-FTE" (full-time equivalent) departments, entire functions performed exclusively by AI agents.12 This paradigm shift from simple task automation to the automation of entire workflows provides the theoretical underpinning for the displacement effects now being measured empirically.

Corporate Realities: Job Cuts and the Productivity Paradox

Real-world corporate actions are increasingly mirroring these academic and theoretical findings. Last week, the Commonwealth Bank of Australia (CBA) announced 45 job cuts in its call centers, explicitly attributing them to the rollout of an AI chatbot—a clear case of direct labor substitution.13 Similarly, Microsoft reported saving over $500 million in its call centers through AI and noted that AI now generates 35% of the code for its new products, all while announcing thousands of job cuts in 2025.14 This is occurring even as the promised macroeconomic productivity boom from AI has yet to reliably materialize, suggesting that for many firms, the most immediate and tangible return on AI investment comes from cost-cutting through headcount reduction.1

Education at a Crossroads: Policy Vacuums and Grassroots Innovation

As the skills required by the labor market are being rapidly redefined, the educational institutions responsible for preparing the future workforce are struggling to adapt, creating a dangerous gap between technological change and pedagogical response.

The Governance Gap

A recent review by the Center on Reinventing Public Education (CRPE) reveals a significant lack of AI-specific guidance from state education departments in the United States. The analysis found that most states are deferring to local districts, creating a chaotic patchwork of policies and leaving many schools to "feel their own way in the dark".6 Federal leadership is also lagging, with a promised "AI toolkit" from the U.S. Department of Education not expected until next spring, long after schools have had to formulate their own responses.6

Pragmatic Local Leadership

In stark contrast to this national vacuum, individual school districts are developing detailed, practical frameworks. Baltimore City Public Schools, for instance, has rolled out new guidance centered on a five-level "acceptable use scale." This empowers teachers to flexibly manage AI use on a per-assignment basis, from total prohibition (Level 1) to free use with proper citation (Level 5). Critically, the policy advises against the use of unreliable AI detection software, instead encouraging teachers to rely on their professional judgment and knowledge of their

students' work.5

Global Consensus on Human-Centric AI

Last week's UNESCO-backed Global Smart Education Conference 2025 in Beijing established a clear international consensus on the principles that should guide AI's integration into education. Key themes emphasized that AI should serve as an "enabler rather than a replacement," the necessity of a "human-centered approach," and the critical importance of empowering teachers through professional development to lead this integration thoughtfully.15 This global perspective offers a normative framework that highlights the policy inaction in many Western jurisdictions.

Higher Education's Readiness Challenge

The challenge is not confined to K-12 education. The 2025 UPCEA/EDDY AI Readiness Report found that higher education institutions are struggling to scale AI adoption due to a lack of leadership alignment, inconsistent implementation strategies, and insufficient infrastructure.18 The report advocates for a collaborative approach involving faculty autonomy, student participation in governance, and transparent policies to build trust and ensure effective, pedagogically sound adoption.18

The Creative Economy Under Duress: The Information Ecosystem at Risk

The business models that have sustained journalism and other creative industries for decades are facing an existential threat from the integration of generative AI into core internet infrastructure, particularly search.

The Search Traffic Collapse

The rollout of "AI Overviews" by Google and the rise of generative search platforms are having a catastrophic impact on referral traffic to online publishers. Last week, the owner of the Daily Mail submitted evidence to the UK's Competition and Markets Authority showing that AI Overviews have fueled a drop in click-through traffic to its sites by as much as 89%.8 This follows a report from the *Financial Times* of a "sudden and sustained" decline of 25-30% in its search traffic.[8]

Existential Threat

Media executives describe this as a "two-pronged attack" and an "existential crisis".8 First, publishers' content is scraped and ingested to train large language models, often without permission or fair compensation. Second, the AI-generated summaries and answers presented directly on search pages eliminate the user's need to click through to the original source, destroying the referral traffic that underpins online advertising and subscription models.8

Broader Creative Industries Impact

This threat extends well beyond journalism. Songwriters report their original work being used by AI to generate new, competing songs that crowd the marketplace.20 Authors and publishers face a deluge of low-quality, AI-generated "garbage books" on platforms like Amazon, which can dilute brands and confuse consumers.20 This dynamic threatens not only the livelihoods of individual creators but the very integrity and value of the cultural ecosystem.

The "Shadow AI" Paradox and Its Role in Accelerating Job Displacement

The erosion of entry-level jobs is not merely a consequence of top-down corporate strategy; it is being actively accelerated by a widespread organizational failure to govern AI adoption. A critical paradox has emerged where the *lack* of a coherent corporate AI strategy is inadvertently fueling the most damaging labor market outcomes.

The sequence of events is clear. First, organizations are failing to implement formal AI policies and training. A recent survey revealed that 78% of workforce development organizations lack a formal AI policy, even though 75% of their staff are already using AI tools.[21] Second, formal, IT-led corporate AI initiatives are often slow, expensive, and fail to deliver transformative value.[22] This creates a vacuum. Into this void, employees—motivated by a desire for efficiency—are adopting powerful, consumer-grade tools like ChatGPT on their own, a phenomenon known as "shadow AI".[23] This unguided, bottom-up adoption naturally targets the most automatable, repetitive, and routine tasks—precisely the work that constitutes the bulk of entry-level, white-collar jobs.

Consequently, the absence of coherent corporate governance is not a neutral factor. It is an active accelerant of the negative employment effects observed by Brynjolfsson and his team. By allowing an unguided, tactical focus on task replacement to flourish in the absence of a strategic vision for job augmentation, companies are directly contributing to the erosion of the career ladder's first rung. This creates a severe long-term risk: a future human capital crisis. By automating away the foundational experiences of early-career roles, organizations are simultaneously destroying their own talent pipelines. In the years to come, this will manifest as a shortage of experienced senior professionals who possess the deep, tacit domain knowledge that AI cannot replicate and that can only be built through years of hands-on practice.

Case Studies: Global Responses and Sector-Specific Adaptations

Across the globe, unions, school districts, and governments are beginning to craft responses to the pressures of the AI transition. These case studies from the past week highlight the emerging strategies and core conflicts that define this new socio-technical landscape.

Workforce Policy in the United Kingdom: The TUC's "Pro-Worker" Blueprint

In the UK, where over half of workers are already concerned about AI's impact on their jobs, the Trades Union Congress (TUC) last week published its "Building a Pro-Worker AI Innovation Strategy".[3] This report is one of the most comprehensive proposals from a major labor organization globally, seeking to move the conversation from mitigating harm to actively shaping technology's development path. Its core proposals include:

- **Innovation for Good Work:** Directing public funding for AI research toward worker-complementary technologies, rather than those focused solely on replacement, through collaborative programs involving unions, universities, and industry.[3]
- **Returning Public Value:** Mandating that the deployment of AI in public services, such as the National Health Service, must involve workers in its design and implementation to ensure it improves service quality and working conditions, not just cuts costs.[24]
- **Securing a Digital Dividend:** Arguing that workers must receive an equitable share of the immense productivity gains generated by AI, necessitating new thinking on taxation, corporate governance, and social security.[3]
- **Pro-Worker Regulation:** Calling for new legislation that grants workers and their unions the right to be consulted on the introduction of high-risk AI systems in the workplace, particularly those involving surveillance and algorithmic management.[24]
- **Supporting Workers Through Change:** Demanding that employers provide necessary AI-related training during working hours and that the government adequately fund lifelong learning and AI literacy programs for the entire workforce.[3]

Educational Governance in the United States: The Baltimore Model

In the absence of coherent federal or state-level guidance, Baltimore City Public Schools has emerged as a leader in pragmatic, bottom-up AI governance.[5] The centerpiece of its new policy is a flexible, teacher-centric framework designed to foster responsible use rather than

attempt a futile prohibition. The five-level "acceptable use scale" allows educators to tailor AI permissions to specific pedagogical goals:

- **Level 1:** No AI use is permitted, ensuring students develop foundational skills without technological assistance.
- **Intermediate Levels:** AI can be used for specific, scaffolded tasks like brainstorming, research, or editing, with clear citation requirements.
- **Level 5:** Students are granted freedom to use AI with personal oversight for advanced projects, provided they cite the tool and link their interaction logs, promoting transparency and accountability.[5]

This model is significant not only for its flexibility but also for its core principles. The guidance explicitly advises against using unreliable AI plagiarism detectors, empowering teachers to rely on their professional knowledge of their students. It also mandates classroom discussions on AI ethics and bias and is supported by professional development for educators, making it a potential blueprint for other districts navigating the policy void.[5]

Corporate Strategy in Australia: Automation and Its Aftermath

The situation in Australia provides a clear microcosm of the central conflict playing out globally. The Commonwealth Bank of Australia's (CBA) recent decision to eliminate 45 call center positions, directly attributing the cuts to the implementation of an AI chatbot, is an unambiguous example of labor substitution for corporate efficiency.[13]

The response from the Finance Sector Union (FSU) was immediate and clear: it demanded that the affected workers be retrained and redeployed into new roles that leverage AI technology, rather than being made redundant.[13] This clash occurred just as the Australian Parliament's Standing Committee on Employment, Education, and Training tabled its "The Future of Work" report. The report concluded that there are significant gaps in Australia's regulatory frameworks, particularly around workplace protections, and called for urgent updates to the Fair Work Act and Privacy Law to clarify employer obligations regarding AI and automated decision-making.[25] The Australian case thus encapsulates the entire dynamic in a single narrative: a corporation pursues automation, a union demands a "reskill and retain" strategy, and the national government acknowledges its legal framework is no longer fit for purpose.

Policy and Ethics: Forging a New Social Contract for an

AI-Powered World

The rapid pace of technological change is forcing a global re-evaluation of the policies and ethical frameworks that govern work, education, and economic distribution. From comprehensive regulation to radical new ideas about social safety nets, a new social contract is being debated.

The Race to Regulate: Diverging National and Supranational Approaches

Different jurisdictions are pursuing distinct strategies for governing AI, creating a complex global landscape. The EU has established itself as the leader in comprehensive, risk-based regulation with the official publication last week of its AI Act, which will be enforced by a new European AI Office.[26] In contrast, the policy debate in the UK, as exemplified by the TUC report, is focused more on using industrial strategy levers like public funding and collective bargaining to steer innovation toward pro-worker outcomes.[3] Australia appears to be taking a more incremental approach, focusing on adapting existing labor and privacy laws to address specific AI-related challenges like workplace surveillance.[25] Meanwhile, international bodies like the G7 and OECD are working to establish high-level principles and foster cooperation on safety and standards, providing a normative foundation for these national efforts.[28]

Policy Dimension	UK - TUC Proposal	Australia - Parliamentary Report	EU - AI Act	USA - Baltimore Schools Model
Worker Consultation & Co-Design	Mandatory consultation on high-risk AI; worker representation on boards.	Enhance worker consultation rights within existing labor law.	High-risk systems require impact assessments; indirect worker rights.	N/A (Education Focus)
Data Privacy & Surveillance	Legislate new protections against unfair workplace	Update Privacy Act to cover employee data collection by	Strict rules on biometric surveillance; data	N/A (Education Focus)

	surveillance.	AI.	governance requirements.	
Public Funding Conditions	Attach "good work" and reskilling strings to all public AI funding.	Recommends strengthening workforce capabilities through public support.	N/A (Focus is on market regulation, not industrial funding).	N/A (Local Funding)
Reskilling & Training Mandates	Employers must provide training during work hours; public funding for lifelong learning.	Calls for strengthening national workforce capabilities and support.	Encourages member states to promote AI literacy.	Provides professional development for teachers on AI integration.
Educational Governance	Calls for AI literacy for all workers.	Focus on workforce training post-schooling .	N/A (Focus on market regulation).	Teacher-led, flexible 5-level 'acceptable use' scale; focus on process.

The Reskilling Imperative vs. The Displacement Reality

There is a near-universal consensus among policymakers, from the World Economic Forum to the Brookings Institution, that reskilling and lifelong learning are the primary solutions to AI-driven labor market disruption.[30] Research from ADP demonstrates the powerful economic incentive, showing that workers who are upskilled to the next "job zone" see an average salary increase of 37%.[33]

However, a critical disconnect exists between this prescription and the on-the-ground reality. The same ADP data reveals that fewer than 4% of workers receive upskilling in their first two years of employment, and nearly three-quarters leave an employer without ever being promoted.[33] A report from General Assembly found that while 76% of hiring managers admit

they

could reskill employees slated for layoffs, they often choose not to.[34] This is compounded by historical evidence suggesting that large-scale government retraining programs have a mixed record of success, raising serious questions about their feasibility as the sole solution to mass displacement.[35] The solution may be known, but the institutional will and mechanisms to implement it at the required scale are profoundly lacking.

The Universal Basic Income Debate Revisited: From Fringe Idea to Pragmatic Response

As evidence mounts that AI may not just augment but broadly substitute for human labor, the debate around Universal Basic Income (UBI) is re-emerging as a pragmatic policy discussion.[36] The economic rationale is shifting from poverty alleviation to macroeconomic stability. In a world where capital (in the form of AI) can fully replace labor, the link between work and consumer purchasing power could be severed, risking a catastrophic deflationary spiral that a UBI could help prevent.[37] This conversation is increasingly driven by tech industry insiders. Last week, a former OpenAI researcher argued that an AI-enabled productivity boom could make a UBI of $10,000 per month "feasible" within years, a figure that dramatically shifts the Overton window on the topic.[7] UBI is being framed as a simpler, more dignified, and universal alternative to complex, means-tested welfare systems, while related concepts like the TUC's "digital dividend" aim to ensure the public receives a direct financial return on the technological progress it collectively enables.[3]

The Emerging Trilemma of Societal Adaptation

A structural conflict, or trilemma, is emerging among the core domains of the AI transition. It is becoming apparent that society cannot simultaneously optimize for: 1) maximally fast, profit-driven corporate AI deployment; 2) a slow, deliberate educational system that builds deep human skills; and 3) a stable, equitable labor market. These three pillars are moving at fundamentally different speeds and are driven by conflicting goals.

Corporations are adopting AI at a breakneck pace, driven by immediate ROI calculations focused on efficiency and automation-based cost-cutting.[13] In contrast, educational systems are structurally slow to adapt; curricula, teacher training, and policy frameworks lag years behind the technological frontier.[6] Finally, labor policy and worker protections are typically

reactive, responding to harms only after they have become widespread, with proactive proposals facing significant political hurdles.[3]

The current trajectory prioritizes the first pillar—corporate speed—at the direct expense of the other two. This creates a severe and growing mismatch: the workforce being produced by the educational system is unprepared for the jobs being rapidly eliminated by corporations, and the policy frameworks are not in place to manage the disruptive transition. This suggests that isolated solutions, such as focusing only on reskilling, are destined to fail. A systemic solution requires synchronizing the speeds and goals of these three domains, either by deliberately shaping corporate AI deployment to allow society to adapt, or by radically accelerating educational and social safety net reform to match the pace of technology.

Challenges and Considerations: Navigating the Risks of Transition

The transition to an AI-powered society is fraught with risks that extend beyond job displacement. These challenges concern the very structure of our economy, the functioning of our organizations, and the nature of human development.

The New Geographies and Demographics of Inequality

AI is poised to create new and deeper forms of inequality. Brynjolfsson's paper provides the first hard data for a stark generational divide, with experienced, AI-insulated workers maintaining their position while AI-exposed, early-career workers are pushed out of the labor market.[1] This threatens to create a "lost generation" of professionals who are denied the opportunity to build foundational skills, effectively locking them out of career progression.

Furthermore, a new Brookings Institution report highlights an emerging geographic divide. Unlike previous automation waves that hit rural manufacturing, the impact of generative AI will be concentrated in the large urban centers where cognitive, non-routine jobs are clustered.[39] If AI adoption, skills, and education are not diffused broadly, this will exacerbate the "brain drain" from non-metropolitan areas, deepen regional economic disparities, and leave vast swathes of the population behind.[39]

The Organizational Disconnect: Strategy vs. Ad-Hoc Adoption

The chasm between widespread, bottom-up employee use of AI and the lack of top-down corporate strategy is a major source of risk. With a large majority of organizations lacking a formal AI policy, this ad-hoc adoption ("shadow AI") creates significant liabilities.[21] Employees may inadvertently upload sensitive client or proprietary data to public AI models, creating major privacy and security breaches.[21] Uncoordinated use leads to inconsistent outputs and can embed unexamined biases into critical workflows. Most importantly, this tactical focus on individual task automation prevents organizations from strategically reimagining entire processes, causing them to miss out on the truly transformative value of AI while maximizing its displacement effects.[22]

Educational Integrity and Human Development

The ubiquity of generative AI poses a fundamental challenge to educational integrity and human development. Traditional methods of assessment are becoming obsolete, and the unreliability of AI detection tools is forcing a necessary but difficult shift toward evaluating a student's process and critical thinking rather than their final product.[5] Beyond the classroom, there is a growing concern about both cognitive and social deskilling. The Ada Lovelace Institute warns that an over-reliance on AI assistants to manage our lives may lead to diminished opportunities for young people to develop crucial social and interactive capacities.[1] This echoes the consensus from global education leaders that human intelligence, creativity, and critical judgment must remain central to the learning process, lest we create a generation of passive technology users rather than active creators.[16]

Outlook: Projecting Trajectories and Strategic Recommendations

The developments of the past week provide a clear, if challenging, view of the path ahead. The friction between technological capability and societal adaptation will define the next several years, demanding proactive and strategic interventions from all stakeholders.

Projected Trajectories (1-5 Year Horizon)

- **Labor Market:** The displacement of entry-level white-collar roles will continue and likely accelerate as "agentic AI" moves from concept to deployment. Labor market analysis will increasingly segment occupations by "AI exposure," and the wage and employment gap between experienced and early-career workers will widen without intervention.
- **Education:** The policy landscape will remain a fragmented patchwork. Innovative local districts will continue to lead, but a slow, painful process of curricular reform will begin at the state and national levels, focusing on integrating AI literacy, ethics, and "human-in-the-loop" skills.
- **Socio-Economic Policy:** As the economic consequences of AI-driven displacement become more visible and politically salient, debates around UBI and "digital dividends" will move from the fringe to the mainstream. Expect more large-scale pilot programs and the introduction of formal policy proposals by major political parties.

Strategic Recommendations for Stakeholders

For Policymakers:

1. **Develop a National AI Transition Strategy:** Move beyond high-level principles to create a comprehensive national strategy. This should include mandating worker consultation on the deployment of high-risk AI, attaching "good jobs" and reskilling conditions to public AI funding, and legislating clear data privacy and anti-surveillance rules for the workplace.[3]
2. **Build a 21st Century Reskilling Infrastructure:** Acknowledge that current retraining efforts are insufficient for the scale of the challenge. Launch a national initiative to fund and scale innovative, flexible lifelong learning programs, exploring new public-private partnerships and creating portable benefits accounts that support a more dynamic workforce.[30]
3. **Modernize the Social Safety Net:** Begin the serious work of modeling and debating next-generation social safety nets, including UBI and other forms of "digital dividends," as a pragmatic response to the potential decoupling of labor from economic value creation.[7]

For Educational Leaders:

1. **Govern Proactively, Don't Wait for Guidance:** Adopt or adapt practical frameworks like the Baltimore model to provide clear, flexible, and pedagogically sound AI usage policies. Abandon unreliable AI detectors and focus on redesigning assessments to emphasize process, critical thinking, and creativity.[5]

2. **Integrate AI Literacy Across the Entire Curriculum:** AI literacy is a foundational skill for the 21st century, not just a topic for computer science class. It must be integrated across all subjects, with a focus on ethical use, bias detection, and understanding AI's societal impact. Prioritize robust professional development to equip teachers with these competencies.[15]
3. **Forge New Pathways to Employment:** Recognize that the traditional entry-level job pipeline is fracturing. Actively partner with industries to co-design new apprenticeship, co-op, and micro-internship models that provide the hands-on, practical experience that graduates are increasingly being denied.[43]

For Business Leaders:

1. **Close the Strategy-Adoption Gap:** Move immediately to develop a formal, board-level AI policy that governs its use. This must include clear ethical guardrails, data governance rules, and a strategic vision for how AI will augment human expertise, not just replace it.[21]
2. **Adopt a "Reskill-First" Mandate:** Before eliminating roles due to automation, make a strategic and binding commitment to reskilling and redeploying existing talent. The evidence shows this is often possible but is overlooked in the pursuit of short-term cost savings. A reskill-first approach builds a more resilient workforce and preserves invaluable institutional knowledge.[34]
3. **Engage Workers as Partners, Not Subjects:** Involve employees and their representatives in the process of designing and deploying AI systems. This co-design approach is proven to lead to more successful technological adoption, improves job quality, and mitigates the significant risks of a disengaged, fearful, or adversarial workforce.[1]

Works cited

1. September Newsletter - How vulnerable are UK jobs to AI? - IFOW, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.ifow.org/news-articles/september-25-newsletter---emotional-ai-and-oasis-tickets-copy
2. Canaries in the Coal Mine? Six Facts about the Recent Employment ..., accessed September 7, 2025, https://digitaleconomy.stanford.edu/publications/canaries-in-the-coal-mine/
3. Building a pro-worker AI innovation strategy | TUC, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.tuc.org.uk/research-analysis/reports/building-pro-worker-ai-innovation-strategy
4. Unions call for urgent AI strategy change to protect jobs - Digit.fyi, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.digit.fyi/unions-call-for-urgent-ai-strategy-change-to-protect-jobs/
5. Baltimore schools issue generative AI guidance for teachers, accessed September 7, 2025, https://technical.ly/civics/baltimore-city-schools-ai-guidance/
6. AI is already disrupting education, but only 13 states are offering guidance for

schools, accessed September 7, 2025, https://crpe.org/ai-disrupt-ed-13-states/
7. Former OpenAI researcher says a $10,000 monthly UBI will be 'feasible' with AI-enabled growth - Yahoo News Canada, accessed September 7, 2025, https://ca.news.yahoo.com/former-openai-researcher-says-10-155715152.html
8. 'Existential crisis': how Google's shift to AI has upended the online news model, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.theguardian.com/media/2025/sep/06/existential-crisis-google-use-ai-search-upended-web-publishers-models
9. Accelerated Tech Training - Work Shift, accessed September 7, 2025, https://workshift.org/accelerated-tech-training/
10. 8.41 MB - Hugging Face, accessed September 7, 2025, https://huggingface.co/datasets/fdaudens/ai-jobs-news-articles/resolve/8d55969b3f648daadc4fc496166ff556eee92717/25.06%20News%20articles%20AI%20and%20Jobs%20-%20ai_jobs_news_20250617_140957.csv?download=true
11. fdaudens/ai-jobs-news-articles · Datasets at Hugging Face, accessed September 7, 2025, https://huggingface.co/datasets/fdaudens/ai-jobs-news-articles/viewer
12. The future of work is agentic - McKinsey, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/the-future-of-work-is-agentic
13. CBA cuts jobs amid AI chatbot rollout - Retail Banker International, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.retailbankerinternational.com/news/cba-cuts-jobs-ai-chatbot/
14. Microsoft touts over $600 million in AI savings while slashing jobs | The Straits Times, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.straitstimes.com/business/companies-markets/microsoft-racks-up-over-600m-in-ai-savings-while-slashing-jobs-bloomberg-news-reports
15. Chinese experts advocate human-centered AI in education at global conference, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.wicinternet.org/2025-09/02/c_1121449.htm
16. Chinese experts advocate human-centered AI in education at global conference - Regional, accessed September 7, 2025, https://regional.chinadaily.com.cn/wic/2025-09/02/c_1121449.htm
17. Human-AI Collaboration: Reshaping the Educational Ecosystem for the Future and Ushering in a New Era of Smart Education Together—Global Smart Education Conference 2025 Unveiled in Beijing - News -Beijing Normal University, accessed September 7, 2025, https://english.bnu.edu.cn/newsevents/latestnews/6a9efdfcb0724db78cb8311ca1165ba7.htm
18. AI Readiness for Fall 2025: A Strategic Playbook for Higher Education Leaders | Guides, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.learnwise.ai/guides/ai-readiness-for-fall-2025-a-strategic-playbook-for-higher-education-leaders
19. A New Report Takes On the Future of News and Search - Columbia Journalism Review, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.cjr.org/the_media_today/new-report-future-ai-search-google-open

ai-altman-perplexity-gemini-apple-llm-artificial.php
20. AI Threatens Creators' Livelihoods, Experts Say - Publishers Weekly, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.publishersweekly.com/pw/by-topic/digital/conferences/article/97574-ai-threatens-creators-livelihoods-experts-say.html
21. From Risk to Opportunity: Adopting AI for Workforce Development — WPTI, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.wpti.org/news-feed/from-risk-to-opportunity-adopting-ai-for-workforce-development
22. Everyone's wrong about why enterprise AI is failing – Citrix Blogs, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.citrix.com/blogs/2025/08/27/everyones-wrong-about-why-enterprise-ai-is-failing/
23. Half of American employees use AI in secret: 8 emerging workplace trends you can't ignore, accessed September 7, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/news/half-of-american-employees-use-ai-in-secret-8-emerging-workplace-trends-you-cant-ignore/articleshow/123657116.cms
24. Redditch MP calls for pro-worker AI Innovation Strategy, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.redditchadvertiser.co.uk/news/25435737.redditch-mp-calls-pro-worker-ai-innovation-strategy/
25. The Future of Work Report Released - Parliament of Australia, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/House_of_Representatives/About_the_House_News/Media_Releases/The_Future_of_Work_Report_Released
26. News archive - cece.eu, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.cece.eu/news-archive
27. A landmark week in AI policy developments: what you need to know - Connect On Tech, accessed September 7, 2025, https://connectontech.bakermckenzie.com/a-landmark-week-in-ai-policy-developments-what-you-need-to-know/
28. The Busiest Week in Global AI Policy: A One-Year Review The week of October 30, 2023 was ar, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.itic.org/documents/artificial-intelligence/AIMemoOneYear.pdf
29. Future of work | OECD, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/future-of-work.html
30. The not-so-dire future of work - Brookings Institution, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-not-so-dire-future-of-work/
31. 10 leaders on reskilling for the future of work - The World Economic Forum, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.weforum.org/podcasts/meet-the-leader/episodes/10-leaders-on-reskilling-for-the-future-of-work/
32. AI and the Future of Work A Cross-Disciplinary Workshop Notes - UCL, accessed September 7, 2025,

https://www.ucl.ac.uk/public-policy/sites/public_policy_redesign/files/ba_ucl_ai_workshop_notes.pdf
33. The skills opportunity - ADP Research, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.adpresearch.com/the-skills-opportunity/
34. 54% of Tech Hiring Managers Say Layoffs Likely This Year, General Assembly Report Finds, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20250422953894/en/54-of-Tech-Hiring-Managers-Say-Layoffs-Likely-This-Year-General-Assembly-Report-Finds
35. AI labor displacement and the limits of worker retraining - Brookings Institution, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/ai-labor-displacement-and-the-limits-of-worker-retraining/
36. What Happens When AI Replaces Workers? - Time Magazine, accessed September 7, 2025, https://time.com/7289692/when-ai-replaces-workers/
37. Children, Pensioners, and the Hidden Complexity of Universality | by Mike Allen - Medium, accessed September 7, 2025, https://medium.com/@mda_87115/children-pensioners-and-the-hidden-complexity-of-universality-bf78c3588cad
38. Episode 207: Talking UBI, part 1 | Podcast | News Center | Research and Impact, accessed September 7, 2025, https://gspp.berkeley.edu/research-and-impact/news/podcast/talking-universal-basic-income-with-professor-hilary-hoynes
39. AI and American Dynamism | American Enterprise Institute - AEI, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.aei.org/domestic-policy/ai-and-american-dynamism/
40. School leaders grapple with AI use and policies for teachers and students - Idaho Education News, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.idahoednews.org/top-news/school-leaders-grapple-with-ai-use-and-policies-for-teachers-and-students/
41. Podcast: Illinois' AI Policy Landscape with Jeremy Riel, accessed September 7, 2025, https://igpa.uillinois.edu/wp-content/uploads/2025/08/Jeremy-Riel-podcast-transcript-final.pdf
42. The Robots are Coming – An exploration of the future of work and its implications on the education sector, accessed September 7, 2025, https://robotsarecoming.org/
43. Apprenticeships for office jobs can prepare downtowns for the future of work | Brookings, accessed September 7, 2025, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/apprenticeships-for-office-jobs-can-prepare-downtowns-for-the-future-of-work/
44. The future of Gen Z internships: Virtual, global, and powered by AI skills, accessed September 7, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/careers/news/the-future-of-gen-z-internships-virtual-global-and-powered-by-ai-skills/articleshow/123744084.cms
45. Molly Kinder - Brookings Institution, accessed September 7, 2025,

https://www.brookings.edu/people/molly-kinder/