# FutureProofed: Deep Research on the Most Important News Around Societal, Economic, and Cultural Changes Driven by Tech and Abundance from the Past 7 Days

## I. Introduction: The Abundance Inflection Point

The past seven days have brought into sharp focus a society at a critical inflection point. The long-theorized promise of an abundance economy, where technologies like artificial intelligence (AI) dramatically lower the cost of production for goods and services, is no longer a distant prospect.[1] It is an active force reshaping economic structures, corporate strategies, and educational paradigms. This transition, however, is not a smooth, uniform uplift. Instead, it is generating a series of profound paradoxes that demand immediate attention from policymakers, business leaders, and educators. The developments of the last week reveal a global economy grappling with the simultaneous occurrence of targeted, high-profile job displacement and persistent macroeconomic stability; with competing forecasts of deepening inequality and shared prosperity; and with an urgent, yet uneven, race to reskill populations for a new era of work and learning.

This report will analyze these core tensions, arguing that they are not contradictions but rather the defining features of an asymmetrical "abundance shock." The transition to an economy powered by AI is manifesting first as a shock to specific sectors and roles—particularly those involving routine cognitive tasks—long before its macroeconomic benefits, such as aggregate productivity growth, are widely distributed. This asymmetry explains the palpable public anxiety and media headlines focused on layoffs at major corporations, even as broad economic data shows a labor market that has yet to experience a systemic, AI-driven disruption. Understanding this dynamic—the temporal and spatial gap between the concentrated costs and the diffuse benefits of the AI transition—is the central challenge in future-proofing our economic and social systems.

# II. Key Developments: The Dual Realities of AI's Economic Impact

The evidence from the past week establishes two parallel, seemingly contradictory realities. On one side, economic data points to an accelerating productivity boom fueled by unprecedented corporate investment in and adoption of AI. On the other, high-profile corporations are initiating workforce reductions explicitly linked to AI-driven efficiencies, while the education sector is undergoing a tectonic shift to integrate the very technology that is reshaping the future of work.

## The Productivity Boom and Accelerating Corporate Adoption

Recent economic forecasts quantify the immense potential of AI. A new model from the Penn Wharton Budget School projects that AI will permanently increase the level of US GDP by 1.5% by 2035 and 3.7% by 2075, with the technology's contribution to annual productivity growth peaking in the early 2030s.[3] This macroeconomic forecast is supported by firm-level data; a new analysis from PwC finds that industries with greater exposure to AI are already experiencing three times higher growth in revenue per employee.[4]

This potential is driving a rapid acceleration in corporate adoption. The Stanford AI Index's 2025 report reveals a significant jump in AI usage, with 78% of organizations reporting AI use in 2024, up from 55% in 2023.[5] This is fueled by a surge in private investment, with the United States leading at $109.1 billion.[5] The "State of AI Report 2025" further confirms this mainstream adoption, noting that 44% of US businesses now pay for AI tools, a dramatic increase from just 5% in 2023, with average contract sizes reaching $530,000.[6]

## The Labor Market Paradox: Displacement Fears vs. Macroeconomic Stability

Against this backdrop of economic optimism, specific corporate actions have fueled widespread anxiety about job displacement. The past week saw several significant announcements, detailed in Table 1, where major firms are restructuring their workforces in direct response to AI's capabilities.

**Table 1: Summary of Recent AI-Related Workforce Announcements (Last 7 Days)**

| Company | Date of Announcement/Report | Affected Division(s) | Scale of Impact | Stated Rationale | Source(s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Goldman Sachs | October 2025 | Various operational units | Another round of job cuts anticipated | "Explore opportunities offered by artificial intelligence" and achieve "greater speed and agility." | 7 |
| Amazon | October 2025 | Human Resources (PXT) and other consumer business divisions | Plans to cut up to 15% of HR staff | "Reduce our total corporate workforce as we get efficiency gains from using AI extensively." | 8 |

These actions, explicitly linking workforce reductions to AI-driven efficiency gains, stand in stark contrast to macroeconomic assessments. A landmark report released this week by the Yale Budget Lab, which analyzed 33 months of US labor market data since the launch of ChatGPT, found "no discernible disruption" at the aggregate level.[10] The study concluded that while the mix of occupations is changing slightly faster than it did during the internet boom of the late 1990s, this trend began before the advent of generative AI and the overall effects "are not out of the ordinary".[10] This empirical finding is consistent with the perspective articulated in a recent speech by Federal Reserve Governor Christopher Waller, who, citing long-term historical data, argued that technology acts as a complement to labor, ultimately creating more jobs than it destroys.[11]

### The Educational Pivot: Integrating AI from the Classroom to the Campus

The same technology driving these workforce shifts is now being integrated into the educational system at an unprecedented scale. In a landmark development in the United States, the nation's two largest teachers' unions—the American Federation of Teachers (AFT) and the National Education Association (NEA)—have formed strategic partnerships with Microsoft, OpenAI, and Anthropic.[12] These collaborations, backed by tens of millions of dollars in corporate funding, aim to train hundreds of thousands of educators to use AI for tasks like creating personalized lesson plans, automating grading, and streamlining administrative work.[12] Critically, the agreements stipulate that the unions retain ownership of the intellectual property and control over the curriculum design and ethical guidelines, a strategic move to ensure educators, not corporations, guide the pedagogical use of AI in classrooms.[12]

This pivot is also evident in higher education, where AI is fundamentally altering how students make decisions. A new global survey from IDP Education found that 54% of prospective international students now use AI tools like ChatGPT to help select universities, and 53% use them to choose academic programs.[14] This is compelling universities to rethink their recruitment and marketing strategies. However, a parallel survey by education consultancy EAB found that while students use AI for initial research, they still trust human sources—like counselors and official university websites—far more, with AI chatbots ranking last among trusted sources.[14]

## III. Case Studies: Navigating the AI Transition Across Sectors and Geographies

Examining how these macro-level trends manifest in specific sectors and regions reveals a more nuanced picture of the AI-driven transformation. From the reconfiguration of white-collar work in North America and Europe to the augmentation of frontline jobs globally and the pioneering educational experiments in East Asia, the transition is unfolding in diverse and instructive ways.

## Case Study: The White-Collar Reconfiguration (North America & Europe)

The recent announcements from Amazon and Goldman Sachs are emblematic of a broader restructuring of white-collar professions. The roles most exposed are not at the highest or lowest skill levels, but in the middle: those involving routine, repeatable cognitive tasks. An analysis from the Penn Wharton Budget Model identifies "Office and Administrative Support" and "Business and Financial Operations" as occupations with over 68% of their tasks susceptible to AI automation.[3] This is corroborated by research from Goldman Sachs itself, which lists computer programmers, accountants, and legal assistants as being at high risk of displacement.[15]

This hollowing out of the middle is creating a "barbell effect" in the labor market. On one end, demand is surging for high-level strategic skills that AI cannot replicate, such as critical thinking, negotiation, and complex client advisory.[7] New roles like "AI Design Strategist" are emerging, and reports from the World Economic Forum and Burning Glass Institute show a growing demand for professionals who combine design, strategy, and data/AI fluency.[16] This is reflected in compensation; PwC's 2025 Global AI Jobs Barometer found that workers with AI skills now command a 56% wage premium over their peers in the same occupation, up from 25% last year.[4] On the other end of the barbell, a new category of AI-augmented frontline work is emerging, creating a different kind of value. The pressure appears most acute for junior white-collar positions, with a report from the European Policy Centre noting that tech giants have been freezing hiring or laying off young software developers in the UK and US, contributing to a 35% decrease in such jobs over the past five years.[17]

## Case Study: The Frontline Worker Revolution (Global)

While much of the focus has been on knowledge work, a quieter but equally profound revolution is occurring in frontline sectors like logistics, manufacturing, and retail. A recent report from the World Economic Forum highlights how AI is being deployed in these areas, which have been historically underserved by digital transformation.[18] Here, the primary goal of AI is not replacement but augmentation and stabilization.

The "building blocks of frontline AI" include intelligent, multilingual AI recruiters that can screen candidates 24/7, reducing time-to-hire from weeks to days; algorithmic scheduling systems that forecast demand to provide workers with more stable and predictable hours; and

AI-driven platforms that deliver real-time performance feedback and on-the-job coaching.[18] These tools address chronic industry problems like high turnover, inefficient paper-based processes, and schedule volatility. This application of AI seeks to create a more efficient and humane work environment, breaking the cycle of stress and inefficiency for both businesses and workers. However, this shift introduces new ethical challenges, particularly the need to ensure that algorithmic management systems are designed for fairness and transparency, and do not unjustly penalize workers.[18]

## Case Study: The Educational Vanguard (East Asia)

Developments in East Asia offer a powerful global contrast to the AI-in-education initiatives in the West. Singapore has emerged as a world leader in classroom AI adoption, with a recent OECD survey finding that 75% of its teachers use AI in their work—more than double the OECD average of 36%.[19] This high adoption rate, however, has revealed an important paradox. Despite using AI tools to automate administrative tasks and plan lessons, teachers in Singapore report that their working hours have not decreased and have, in fact, slightly increased.[22] The time saved by AI is being reallocated to higher-value work, such as providing more personalized support to students, and to new tasks, such as the need to meticulously check AI-generated content for accuracy—a phenomenon some are calling a "verification tax".[22] AI is not reducing the workload; it is redefining it.

Meanwhile, Hong Kong is strategically positioning itself to become a regional leader in the governance of educational AI. Following the announcement of a new digital education blueprint, the city is moving to create quality assurance frameworks and regulatory sandboxes for piloting AI tools in classrooms.[23] This initiative aims to fill a void in the Asian market, which lacks the established certification mechanisms for EdTech that exist in the West. This state-led, standards-driven approach provides a different model from the decentralized, corporate-partnership-based strategy currently unfolding in the United States, as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3: Global AI in Education Initiatives (Last 7 Days)**

| Region/Country | Initiative/Development | Key Actors | Stated Goal/Focus | Noted Challenge/Paradox | Source(s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| United | Big Tech & | AFT, NEA, | Train | Maintaining | [12] |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| States | Teachers' Union Partnerships | Microsoft, OpenAI, Anthropic | 400,000+ teachers on AI tools for instruction and administration. | pedagogical control and preventing corporate influence over curriculum. | |
| Singapore | High Classroom Adoption Rate | Singapore Ministry of Education (MOE), Teachers | Use AI to automate tasks and provide personalized student feedback. | Workload is redefined and reallocated to higher-value tasks, not reduced. | [20] |
| Hong Kong / East Asia | National Policy Blueprint & Regional Standard-Setting | Hong Kong Government, UNESCO | Establish regional quality assurance standards and a sandbox for EdTech. | Lack of existing comprehensive quality assurance frameworks in Asia. | [23] |

## IV. Policy and Ethics: Crafting Frameworks for an AI-Driven Society

As AI becomes deeply embedded in the economic fabric, governments and international bodies are accelerating efforts to establish governance frameworks. The past week's developments reveal diverging national strategies and highlight the urgent ethical questions surrounding the deployment of AI in critical areas like public education and its impact on social cohesion.

## National Strategies in Focus (US & EU)

The United States and the European Union are pursuing distinct paths in AI governance. The current US administration's policy, articulated through a series of executive orders, is centered on accelerating innovation and ensuring American competitiveness to achieve "global dominance in artificial intelligence".[12] This strategy prioritizes removing regulatory barriers and encouraging private-sector investment in both technology and worker upskilling.[25]

This innovation-first approach contrasts with the regulatory-centric model of the European Union. While also promoting AI adoption, the EU's strategy is heavily shaped by comprehensive frameworks like the EU AI Act, which seeks to codify standards of accountability and trust.[18] However, analysis from the European Policy Centre suggests that while Brussels has focused intently on balancing risk regulation with promoting adoption, it may have given insufficient attention to the deeper, structural impacts the technology will have on jobs, income security, and regional inequality.[17]

Offering a middle path, recent publications from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) call for an "urgent need to act" with policies that holistically address both the benefits and the risks of AI, including automation, bias, and privacy breaches.[26] The OECD advocates for a balanced approach that integrates social dialogue, investment in skills, and worker consultation alongside the promotion of technological innovation.

## The Governance of Learning

The large-scale partnerships between tech giants and public school systems in the US bring the ethical governance of AI into sharp relief. While these collaborations provide much-needed funding and resources, they raise critical questions about influence and control.[12] Educators and organizations like the Center on Reinventing Public Education have voiced concerns that without careful oversight, the primary focus of these initiatives could shift from educational outcomes to corporate promotion.[12] The strategic decision by the AFT and NEA to retain control over curriculum and intellectual property represents a crucial attempt to mitigate this risk, positioning teachers as the essential human arbiters of how AI is used in the classroom.[12] This preemptive move aims to ensure AI remains a tool to augment teaching, rather than a corporate-controlled system that dictates pedagogy.

### Redistribution and Social Safety Nets

A strong consensus is emerging across ideologically diverse institutions regarding the necessity of policy interventions to manage the socio-economic consequences of the AI transition. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has been unequivocal, calling on countries to establish "comprehensive social safety nets and offer retraining programmes for vulnerable workers" to curb the rise in inequality and "prevent the technology from further stoking social tensions".[28] Similarly, PwC's analysis, which projects a potential reduction in income inequality, explicitly states that this optimistic outcome is not guaranteed but is contingent on proactive policy choices, including significant public and private investment in upskilling and government incentives that promote responsible AI adoption.[30] These converging calls to action signal a growing recognition that a purely market-driven approach to the AI transition is insufficient and that robust government policy will be essential to ensure the benefits are shared broadly.

## V. Challenges and Considerations: The Frictions of Transformation

Despite the immense potential of AI, the path to realizing its benefits is fraught with structural challenges that could lead to negative societal outcomes. The primary frictions are a severe and inequitable digital skills gap, a troubling divergence between the rhetoric and reality of corporate reskilling, and a complex economic dilemma regarding AI's ultimate impact on inequality.

### The Widening Chasm: The Digital Skill Divide

A foundational barrier to an inclusive AI transition is the profound digital skills divide. A recent report from the National Skills Coalition highlights a critical mismatch in the US labor market: while 92% of jobs now require digital skills, a full one-third of the workforce possesses low or no foundational digital skills.[31] This gap is not evenly distributed; it disproportionately impacts workers of color, individuals with low incomes, and rural residents, reflecting decades of historic underinvestment and structural inequities.[31] This goes beyond mere access to broadband. New research from Harvard Business School, analyzing 40 million devices, found a vast gap in the *intensity of digital usage* between urban and rural areas, pointing to a deeper issue of digital literacy.[32] The World Economic Forum has elevated this issue to a geopolitical concern, warning that nations that fail to achieve widespread AI literacy will suffer from a "brain drain" that fuels global inequality and hardens into lasting class divides.[33]

## The Reskilling Rhetoric vs. Reality

While upskilling and reskilling are universally touted as the solution to workforce displacement, a significant gap has emerged between corporate messaging and corporate action. Many organizations, despite public commitments to "future-proofing" their workforce, are opting for the faster and cheaper strategy of hiring new, AI-fluent talent while laying off existing employees whose skills are becoming obsolete.[34] This "replace over reskill" approach is evidenced by shrinking corporate learning and development (L&D) budgets, a trend that fosters deep skepticism among employees and erodes institutional trust.[34]

This creates a vicious cycle, or a "reskilling trap." The workers with the lowest digital skills are the most vulnerable to displacement, yet they are the least likely to be targeted for the limited corporate reskilling investments available. This challenge is compounded by the fact that large-scale retraining programs have a historically mixed record of success, and the learning curve from a routine, low-skill job to a complex, AI-related profession is often insurmountably steep.[34] Without a massive, coordinated effort, the people who need reskilling the most are the least likely to receive it, further widening the skills gap.

## The Inequality Dilemma: Wage Compression vs. Wealth Concentration

The long-term economic impact of AI on inequality remains one of the most fiercely debated topics, with credible analyses from the past week pointing in opposite directions. This has led to the emergence of competing economic forecasts, as detailed in Table 2.

**Table 2: Comparative Analysis of AI's Economic Impact Forecasts**

| Institution | Key Projection | Forecast Summary | Key Assumptions/ Contingencies | Source(s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **IMF** | Impact on Inequality | AI could reduce wage inequality by displacing high-income workers but will likely increase wealth inequality substantially. | Depends on whether productivity gains boost capital returns more than wages. High-income workers are better positioned to benefit from capital gains. | [36] |
| **PwC** | Impact on Inequality | In an optimistic scenario, AI could reduce the US Gini index (a measure of income inequality) from 37.5% to 36.7% by 2035. | Contingent on widespread AI adoption, rising real wages for most workers, and proactive policy choices promoting upskilling and trust. | [30] |
| **Penn Wharton** | GDP & Productivity | AI will permanently increase the level of GDP by 1.5% by 2035 and boost annual productivity growth, peaking in the early 2030s. | Assumes a gradual adoption curve and that sectoral shifts will add a lasting boost to aggregate growth. | [3] |

One school of thought suggests AI could *reduce wage inequality*. Because generative AI is uniquely capable of automating tasks in high-income, white-collar professions, it could compress the wage scale from the top down.[36] Furthermore, studies show AI tools can act as a skill leveler, boosting the productivity of lower-performing workers more than that of top experts, further narrowing wage gaps within occupations.[38]

However, a powerful counterargument presented in a recent IMF working paper posits that even if wage inequality decreases, *wealth inequality* is poised to skyrocket.[36] The logic is that the high-income professionals whose wages may be suppressed are the same individuals who disproportionately own capital. As AI drives massive productivity gains and corporate profits, the returns on this capital will surge. This could lead to a "great decoupling," where labor income and wealth accumulation, long correlated for skilled professionals, diverge. A society could appear more equal from a payroll perspective while becoming drastically more unequal in terms of overall wealth, a dynamic that current policy frameworks are ill-equipped to handle.

# VI. Outlook: Projecting Trajectories and FutureProofing Society

The convergence of rapid technological advancement, corporate restructuring, and policy debate creates divergent potential futures. The trajectory society follows will depend critically on the strategic choices made today by policymakers, business leaders, and educators. The analysis of the past week's events points toward two primary scenarios and a clear set of actionable recommendations to navigate toward a more inclusive and prosperous outcome.

## Potential Trajectories

- **Scenario A: The Managed Transition.** This future aligns with the optimistic scenarios modeled by institutions like PwC, where the immense productivity gains from AI are broadly shared.[30] This path is not automatic; it requires decisive and coordinated action. It involves massive public and private investment in reskilling and lifelong learning to close the digital divide, the modernization of social safety nets to support workers through transitions, and a corporate commitment to a human-centric deployment of AI that prioritizes augmentation over pure automation. In this scenario, rising productivity translates into rising real wages for a majority of the workforce, leading to sustainable growth and potentially lower overall income inequality.
- **Scenario B: The Deepening Divide.** This future represents the materialization of the core risks identified by the IMF and others.[29] It is a future characterized by policy inertia, a failure to address the foundational skills gap, and a continued corporate preference for replacing rather than retraining workers. In this scenario, the "reskilling trap" becomes a

permanent feature of the labor market, leaving a large segment of the population unemployable or underemployed in a precarious gig economy. The productivity gains from AI are captured almost entirely as capital returns, leading to an extreme concentration of wealth. The result is not only economic hardship but also rising social tensions, political polarization, and a potential populist backlash against technological progress itself.

## Actionable Recommendations for Stakeholders

To steer toward the "Managed Transition" and away from the "Deepening Divide," stakeholders must move beyond rhetoric and implement concrete, strategic actions.

**For Policymakers:**

- **Launch a "Human Capital Moonshot":** The scale of the reskilling challenge requires a national-level commitment. Governments should dramatically increase funding for public education, vocational training, and lifelong learning initiatives, with programs specifically designed to close the digital skills divide affecting marginalized communities.[31]
- **Modernize the Social Contract:** Social safety nets designed for a 20th-century economy are inadequate for a 21st-century labor market characterized by frequent transitions. Following IMF recommendations, governments should redesign unemployment benefits and create portable benefit systems to support continuous learning and longer periods of retraining.[29]
- **Incentivize Reskilling over Replacement:** Use the tax code and public-private partnership frameworks to create powerful financial incentives for companies that invest in retraining their existing workforce. This can help counter the current market logic that favors hiring new talent over developing incumbent employees.[34]

**For Business Leaders:**

- **Adopt a Talent-First Strategy:** Shift from a short-term focus on cost-cutting via automation to a long-term strategy of talent cultivation. This involves proactively identifying at-risk roles, building internal "talent marketplaces" to facilitate redeployment, and creating clear pathways for employees to transition into new, AI-augmented positions.[41]
- **Prioritize Augmentation:** When implementing AI, focus on systems that enhance the capabilities and productivity of human workers, as seen in the "frontline AI" model, rather than viewing automation solely as a means of headcount reduction. This approach can unlock new sources of value while fostering employee buy-in.[18]
- **Lead with Radical Transparency:** Openly communicate the organization's AI strategy, the future skills that will be most valued, and the specific resources and training

pathways available to help employees adapt. In an era of uncertainty, clear and honest communication is essential for maintaining trust, morale, and engagement.[43]

**For Educators and Institutions:**

- **Re-center the Curriculum on Human Skills:** As AI automates routine cognitive tasks, the core mission of education must be to cultivate the uniquely human skills that remain indispensable: critical thinking, creativity, ethical reasoning, collaboration, and complex problem-solving.[7]
- **Embed AI Literacy Universally:** Develop comprehensive AI competency frameworks for both students and teachers, following the models being pioneered by UNESCO and in regions like Hong Kong.[23] This education must go beyond simply using AI tools to include a foundational understanding of how they work, their inherent limitations and biases, and their profound ethical implications.
- **Forge Dynamic Industry-Education Partnerships:** Educational institutions must work in close, real-time collaboration with industry to understand emerging skill demands. This involves co-creating curricula, micro-credential programs, and apprenticeship models that provide students with direct, validated pathways to the jobs of the future.[16]

## Works cited

1. Editorial: Scarcity, regulation, and the abundance society - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed October 19, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9908002/
2. Scarcity and Abundance in 2025 - Alex Danco's Newsletter, accessed October 19, 2025, https://alexdanco.com/2025/03/27/scarcity-and-abundance-in-2025/
3. The Projected Impact of Generative AI on Future Productivity Growth, accessed October 19, 2025, https://budgetmodel.wharton.upenn.edu/issues/2025/9/8/projected-impact-of-generative-ai-on-future-productivity-growth
4. The Fearless Future: 2025 Global AI Jobs Barometer - PwC, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/issues/artificial-intelligence/ai-jobs-barometer.html
5. The 2025 AI Index Report | Stanford HAI, accessed October 19, 2025, https://hai.stanford.edu/ai-index/2025-ai-index-report
6. Welcome to State of AI Report 2025, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.stateof.ai/2025-report-launch
7. Goldman Sachs signals fresh layoffs as AI takes over: 8 ways US ..., accessed October 19, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/careers/news/goldman-sachs-signals-fresh-layoffs-as-ai-takes-over-8-ways-us-employees-can-prepare-for-the-impending-job-cuts/articleshow/124565336.cms
8. Amazon Layoffs: Thousands more jobs at risk as AI revolution reshapes the company's workforce. Which department is the worst hit?, accessed October 19, 2025,

https://m.economictimes.com/news/international/global-trends/us-news-amazon-layoffs-thousands-more-jobs-at-risk-as-ai-revolution-reshapes-the-companys-workforce-which-department-is-the-worst-hit/articleshow/124583573.cms
9. Amazon may layoff 15% of HR employees; with additional job cuts in, accessed October 19, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/technology/tech-news/amazon-may-layoff-15-of-hr-employees-with-additional-job-cuts-in-/articleshow/124599912.cms
10. Evaluating the Impact of AI on the Labor Market: Current State of Affairs - Yale Budget Lab, accessed October 19, 2025, https://budgetlab.yale.edu/research/evaluating-impact-ai-labor-market-current-state-affairs
11. Speech by Governor Waller on artificial intelligence - Federal ..., accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/waller20251015a.htm
12. Tech giants invest millions to train US teachers as AI moves into ..., accessed October 19, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/news/tech-giants-invest-millions-to-train-us-teachers-as-ai-moves-into-classrooms/articleshow/124632718.cms
13. Big Tech is paying millions to train teachers on AI, in a push to bring chatbots into classrooms, accessed October 19, 2025, https://apnews.com/article/artificial-intelligence-teacher-union-microsoft-f7554b6550fb90519dd8129acac8e291
14. Over half of students use AI tools for study abroad decisions, research finds multi-channel marketing essential, accessed October 19, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/study-abroad/over-half-of-students-use-ai-tools-for-study-abroad-decisions-research-finds-multi-channel-marketing-essential/articleshow/124624931.cms
15. How Will AI Affect the Global Workforce? - Goldman Sachs, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/how-will-ai-affect-the-global-workforce
16. Should you study design in 2025? Hiring trends and growth forecast, accessed October 19, 2025, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/careers/news/should-you-study-design-in-2025-hiring-trends-and-growth-forecast/articleshow/124474903.cms
17. AI's impact on Europe's job market: A call for a Social Compact - European Policy Centre, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.epc.eu/publication/ais-impact-on-europes-job-market-a-call-for-a-social-compact/
18. Beyond the desk: How AI is transforming the frontline workforce ..., accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/10/ai-frontline-workforce/
19. Singapore teachers are global leaders in AI use for education: Survey - YouTube, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jf3zYrGgncs
20. Singapore teachers among most active users of AI in education: survey - Xinhua,

accessed October 19, 2025,
https://english.news.cn/20251007/2604d18176c94004ad2e1948784f0dde/c.html
21. Results from TALIS 2024 - Country notes: Singapore - OECD, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/results-from-talis-2024-country-notes_e127f9e2-en/singapore_8e88e29a-en.html
22. Artificial intelligence in S'pore classrooms: How do we know it's making a difference for teachers? | The Straits Times, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.straitstimes.com/singapore/parenting-education/artificial-intelligence-in-spore-classrooms-how-do-we-know-its-making-a-difference-for-teachers
23. Letters | Hong Kong could help Asia set standards for AI in education, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.scmp.com/opinion/letters/article/3327495/hong-kong-could-help-asia-set-standards-ai-education
24. East Asia Unites to Advance AI in Higher Education at 2025 High-Level - UNESCO, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/east-asia-unites-advance-ai-higher-education-2025-high-level-policy-dialogue-held-30-june-2025
25. AI Policy Framework - SHRM, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.shrm.org/advocacy/executive-order-impact-zone/ai-policy-framework
26. Future of work | OECD, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/future-of-work.html
27. AI and work - OECD, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/ai-and-work.html
28. [Global] IMF: AI will impact 40% of jobs and worsen inequality, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://gpa.net/blogs/global/global-imf-ai-will-impact-40-of-jobs-and-worsen-inequality
29. AI Will Transform the Global Economy. Let's Make Sure It Benefits Humanity., accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.imf.org/en/Blogs/Articles/2024/01/14/ai-will-transform-the-global-economy-lets-make-sure-it-benefits-humanity
30. Rethinking AI's role in income inequality | PwC, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/issues/c-suite-insights/the-leadership-agenda/AI-role-in-income-inequality.html
31. New Report: 92% of Jobs Require Digital Skills, One-Third of Workers Have Low or No Digital Skills Due to Historic Underinvestment, Structural Inequities - National Skills Coalition, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://nationalskillscoalition.org/news/press-releases/new-report-92-of-jobs-require-digital-skills-one-third-of-workers-have-low-or-no-digital-skills-due-to-historic-underinvestment-structural-inequities/
32. America's Digital Divide: Where Workers Are Falling Behind | Working Knowledge, accessed October 19, 2025,
https://www.library.hbs.edu/working-knowledge/americas-digital-divide-where-

workers-are-falling-behind
33. 3 vital truths about AI literacy that will define the future - The World Economic Forum, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/10/ai-literacy-3-vital-truths/
34. Reskilling vs. replacement: Making the case for investing in people - HR Executive, accessed October 19, 2025, https://hrexecutive.com/reskilling-vs-replacement-making-the-case-for-investing-in-people/
35. AI & the Workforce: The Illusion of Reskilling & The Coming Crisis - Sumir Nagar, accessed October 19, 2025, https://sumirnagar.com/2025/01/10/ai-the-workforce-the-illusion-of-reskilling-the-coming-crisis/
36. AI Adoption and Inequality - International Monetary Fund (IMF), accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2025/04/04/AI-Adoption-and-Inequality-565729
37. AI Adoption and Inequality in: IMF Working Papers Volume 2025 Issue 068 (2025), accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/journals/001/2025/068/article-A001-en.xml
38. Exploring the Effects of Generative AI on Inequality - MIT Sloan, accessed October 19, 2025, https://mitsloan.mit.edu/centers-initiatives/institute-work-and-employment-research/exploring-effects-generative-ai-inequality
39. Bridging the AI skills gap - OECD, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/bridging-the-ai-skills-gap_66d0702e-en.html
40. AI will affect 40% of jobs and probably worsen inequality, says IMF head - The Guardian, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2024/jan/15/ai-jobs-inequality-imf-kristalina-georgieva
41. Upskilling & Reskilling With AI: A Strategic View - Beamery, accessed October 19, 2025, https://beamery.com/resources/blogs/upskilling-reskilling-with-ai-a-strategic-view
42. What is the future of work? - McKinsey, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/mckinsey-explainers/what-is-the-future-of-work
43. AI Upskilling Strategy - IBM, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/ai-upskilling
44. Artificial intelligence in education | UNESCO, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.unesco.org/en/digital-education/artificial-intelligence
45. Education Innovation and Research | U.S. Department of Education, accessed October 19, 2025, https://www.ed.gov/grants-and-programs/grants-special-populations/grants-economically-disadvantaged-students/education-innovation-and-research