

FutureProofed: Tech and Abundance Reshape Work, Education, and Economy

The convergence of accelerating AI adoption and emerging policy responses is creating a pivotal moment for workforce transformation. This week's developments reveal a labor market entering a "low-hire, low-fire" equilibrium as companies quietly replace roles through attrition, while governments scramble to establish governance frameworks. Most significantly, **AI adoption has now outpaced the personal computer's trajectory**—reaching 54.6% of U.S. adults within three years of ChatGPT's launch, compared to just 19.7% PC adoption three years after 1981. (stlouisfed) Marshall Islands became the first nation with permanent universal basic income, (ITSA Newsletter) signaling that policy innovation is beginning to match technological disruption.

AI is transforming work faster than policy can respond

The McKinsey Global Institute's landmark report released November 26 quantifies what many suspected: **57% of U.S. work hours could technically be automated** with current AI capabilities. Perhaps more striking, **40% of American jobs** now fall into "highly automatable" categories—with AI software agents capable of handling 44% of work hours while robots could manage another 13%. (Humansareobsolete) (roboticsandautomationnews)

These aren't distant projections. Goldman Sachs analysis of Q3 2025 earnings calls found that **15% of discussed layoffs were explicitly attributed to AI**, a figure that has risen steadily throughout 2025. (Fortune) (Fortune) The Federal Reserve's November Beige Book documented companies using AI to "replace entry-level positions" and make "existing workers productive enough to curb new hiring." (Fortune) (Fortune) This pattern—automation through attrition rather than mass layoffs—represents a fundamental shift in how technological displacement manifests.

The demand for AI fluency has grown **sevenfold in two years**, from roughly 1 million workers in 2023 to 7 million in 2025 needing AI skills. (Robotics & Automation News) (roboticsandautomationnews) Workers who acquire these skills command a **25% wage premium** over peers in identical roles, according to PwC's 2025 Global AI Jobs Barometer. (PwC) McKinsey estimates that redesigning workflows around human-AI collaboration could generate **\$2.9 trillion in annual U.S. economic value by 2030**—but only if 60% of workers receive significant reskilling. (roboticsandautomationnews)

Major corporations are already acting on these projections. Amazon eliminated **14,000 corporate positions** this quarter while simultaneously announcing **\$125 billion in AI infrastructure spending** for 2025. (Fortune) (CNBC) UPS cut **48,000 positions** (CNBC) and plans to close 73 buildings as it automates 400 facilities, projecting **\$3.5 billion in cost savings**. (CNBC) (Newsweek) Fiverr slashed 30% of its workforce to become an "AI-focused company," (TechCrunch) while Salesforce eliminated 4,000 customer support roles after determining AI could handle 50% of the work. (CNBC)

Education systems face an AI governance vacuum

A stark disconnect has emerged between AI's rapid educational deployment and institutional readiness to manage it. The Milken Institute's November report revealed that **60% of U.S. schools and districts have no guidance whatsoever for generative AI usage**. Only 17% of computer science teachers hold computer science degrees, and girls' representation in CS drops from 49% in elementary school to just 20% by college graduation.

[EdSurge](#)

Against this backdrop, federal investment is accelerating. The Department of Education allocated **\$50 million specifically for AI in education** through the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education,

[EdTech Innovation Hub](#)

with awards expected by December 31. This includes funding for "Advancing AI to Improve Educational Outcomes" and "Ensuring Future Educators Have Foundational Exposure to AI."

[U.S. Department of Education](#)

[EdTech Innovation Hub](#)

Senator Tim Kaine's reintroduced "Digital Skills for Today's Workforce Act" would create formula funding to states for digital literacy programs.

Microsoft and 4-H extended their partnership [Microsoft News](#) with **\$10 million for rural AI education**,

addressing data showing that while 66% of youth ages 9-17 know about generative AI, [Microsoft News](#) only 28% of rural youth feel knowledgeable about it. [microsoft](#) Google's Gemini for Education now operates in over **1,000**

U.S. higher education institutions, reaching more than 10 million students. [StartupHub.ai](#)

Universities are quietly integrating AI into consequential decisions. Virginia Tech developed an AI system to confirm human essay scores, Georgia Tech tests AI for transcript evaluation, and UNC updated its website to acknowledge AI use for processing "data points" from applications. [Seymour Tribune](#)

The Center for Democracy and Technology found concerning early results: **half of surveyed students reported that AI in classrooms made them feel less connected to teachers**. [EdSurge](#)

Marshall Islands launches first permanent universal basic income

In a development with potentially profound implications for abundance economics, the Marshall Islands became the **first country to implement permanent universal basic income**—providing \$200 every three months to all approximately 36,000 citizen residents. This represents roughly 11% of GDP per capita [ITSA Newsletter](#) and is funded through a sovereign wealth mechanism similar to Alaska's Permanent Fund. [ITSA Newsletter](#)

Closer to home, Cook County, Illinois established itself as the **first U.S. county with permanent guaranteed basic income funding**, allocating \$7.5 million annually [ITSA Newsletter](#) for \$500 monthly payments after a \$42 million pilot program served 3,000+ residents. [ITSA Newsletter](#) Congressional momentum is building:

Representative Rashida Tlaib reintroduced the BOOST Act proposing **\$250 monthly to everyone ages 19-67**, [ITSA Newsletter](#) while Canada's Bill S-206 passed second reading in the Senate, requiring a national framework for unconditional guaranteed livable basic income. [ITSA Newsletter](#)

Pilot program results continue to demonstrate positive outcomes. Oregon's homelessness pilot, providing \$1,000 monthly to 117 young adults for two years, achieved **91% stable housing**—dramatically exceeding typical 50-

60% rates. [ITSA Newsletter](#) Madison, Wisconsin's pilot saw full-time employment increase from 29% to 40% among recipients. [ITSA Newsletter](#)

The productivity gains making such programs conceivable are materializing. St. Louis Federal Reserve data shows aggregate productivity has increased **up to 1.3%** since ChatGPT's launch, with industries seeing higher AI time savings experiencing **2.7 percentage points higher productivity growth** relative to pre-pandemic trends. [stlouisfed](#) Penn Wharton Budget Model projects AI will contribute **1.5% GDP growth by 2035**, accelerating to 3.7% by 2075.

Case study: Tennessee pioneers state-level AI workforce strategy

Tennessee, North Carolina, and Utah are emerging as laboratories for AI workforce policy, having adopted MIT's "Iceberg Index"—[CNBC](#) research finding that **11.7% of the U.S. workforce (approximately 18 million workers)** can already be replaced by AI, representing \$1.2 trillion in wages across finance, healthcare, and professional services.

Tennessee's AI Workforce Action Plan, released this month, represents perhaps the most comprehensive state response to date. The plan integrates Iceberg Index data to identify vulnerable occupations, establishes targeted reskilling programs, and coordinates with community colleges on credential development. This approach acknowledges that AI workforce disruption will be highly localized—concentrated in specific industries and regions—requiring tailored rather than uniform responses.

At the federal level, the Department of Labor announced its **AI Workforce Hub launching January 2026**, featuring innovation pilots for rapid worker retraining and ongoing labor market impact evaluation. The Warner-Hawley bipartisan bill would require publicly traded companies and federal agencies to report quarterly on [Nextgov.com](#) employees laid off due to AI, new AI-related hires, unfilled positions due to automation, and workers retrained.

California has moved furthest on employment regulation. The Civil Rights Council's rules effective October 1, 2025 explicitly cover AI tools under the Fair Employment and Housing Act, [Sheppard Mullin Richter & Ha...](#) require employers to **maintain AI-related records for four years**, and prohibit AI with disparate impact on protected groups. [Sheppard Mullin Richter & Ha...](#) The pending "No Robo Bosses Act" (SB 7) would require 30 days' notice before deploying automated decision systems in employment. [K&L Gates](#)

Policy battleground: Federal preemption versus state innovation

A consequential regulatory conflict is unfolding in Washington. A leaked White House executive order reveals plans for an "AI Litigation Task Force" to challenge state AI laws in court, while House lawmakers attempt to use the National Defense Authorization Act to block state regulations. [TechCrunch](#) The pro-AI PAC Leading the Future launched a **\$10 million campaign** pushing for federal standards that would override state laws.

Opposition is substantial: **over 200 lawmakers** signed an open letter opposing AI preemption, joined by **nearly 40 state attorneys general**. The Senate voted 99-1 to strip a federal AI moratorium from budget reconciliation, suggesting any preemption framework will require clear national standards rather than mere state-law nullification.

The European Union is moving in the opposite direction—toward regulatory relaxation. The November 19 Digital Omnibus proposal ([Tech Policy Press](#)) delays high-risk AI system deadlines to December 2027-August 2028, removes mandatory AI literacy requirements, and extends SME compliance exemptions.

([Compliance & Risks](#)) This follows the Draghi report warning that EU rules stifle innovation and Trump administration criticism of "excessive" European AI regulation at the Paris AI Summit. ([Fortune](#))

Senator Josh Hawley captured the urgency: "Artificial intelligence is already replacing American workers, and experts project AI could drive unemployment up to 10-20% in the next five years." ([Nextgov.com](#))

Challenges: The new digital divide is about skills, not access

The UNDP's "Next Great Divergence" report released December 2-3 warns that unmanaged AI could reverse decades of progress narrowing global inequality. ([euronews](#)) ([fundsforNGOs News](#)) Key findings: **women face nearly twice the automation exposure as men**, ([Euronews](#)) youth employment is declining in high-AI-exposure roles (especially ages 22-25), ([euronews](#)) and only **14% of Asia-Pacific's population actually uses AI tools** despite availability, leaving 3.7 billion people "on the sidelines." ([euronews](#))

A Harvard Business School study of 40 million Microsoft devices across 28,000 U.S. ZIP codes reveals that the digital divide persists **even where broadband is available**. Rural areas showed dramatically lower digital engagement indices despite infrastructure access. ([Harvard Business School](#)) In Latin America, the ILO found that **over half of workers in AI-augmentable jobs in 9 of 16 countries don't currently use computers at all**. ([International Labour Organization](#))

Entry-level workers face concentrated vulnerability. Unemployment among college-educated Americans ages 22-27 hit **5.8% in March 2025**—the highest in four years. ([Fortune](#)) The World Economic Forum warns of an emerging "AI precariat": workers facing not just economic displacement but identity crisis from rapid role elimination. An estimated **980 million jobs worldwide** face high disruption risk within the next year, according to the Inter-American Development Bank. ([World Economic Forum](#))

The policy implication is clear: infrastructure-focused digital inclusion strategies must evolve toward **skills and literacy development**. As the UNDP's chief economist stated: "Countries that invest in skills, computing power and sound governance systems will benefit; others risk being left far behind." ([euronews](#)) ([Euronews](#))

Outlook: Navigating the transition requires coordinated action

The trajectory suggests AI workforce transformation will accelerate through 2026-2030, with McKinsey projecting 60% of workers will need significant reskilling by 2030. ([Humansareobsolete](#)) The World Economic

Forum estimates **92 million jobs displaced by 2030**, offset by 170 million new positions—

[World Economic Forum](#) but the transition will not be automatic or painless.

Three actionable insights emerge from this week's developments:

First, the "low-hire, low-fire" pattern means traditional unemployment metrics will understate disruption. Policy should focus on job quality, wage growth, and reskilling participation rates rather than headline unemployment alone. The Warner-Hawley reporting requirements could establish crucial visibility into actual AI-driven labor market changes.

Second, education and workforce systems require immediate AI governance frameworks. The finding that 60% of schools lack AI guidance while universities quietly deploy AI in admissions decisions represents an institutional gap that will widen without intervention. Federal FIPSE funding and state-level action plans offer models, but scale remains insufficient.

Third, abundance economics concepts are transitioning from theory to pilot implementation. The Marshall Islands and Cook County examples, combined with accumulating pilot data showing positive employment and housing outcomes, suggest UBI-type programs deserve serious policy consideration as complements to traditional safety nets—particularly as AI productivity gains create fiscal space for such investments.

The fundamental challenge is temporal: AI capabilities are advancing faster than institutions can adapt. MIT's finding that 11.7% of the workforce—\$1.2 trillion in wages—is already replaceable suggests the window for proactive policy response is narrowing. Whether the outcome resembles McKinsey's vision of human-AI partnership or a more disruptive displacement scenario will depend substantially on decisions made in the next 24-36 months.